UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,329	12/31/2003	Edgar Matias	P1282US00	8128	
54640 PERRY & PAR	7590 03/21/200 RTNERS	7 .	EXAMINER		
1300 YONGE STREET SUITE 500 TORONTO, ON M4T-1X3			PICKETT, JOHN G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			3728		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		X			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/748,329	MATIAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory Pickett	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6,7 and 9-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6,7 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2004</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action acknowledges the applicant's amendments filed 8 January 2007 and 12 December 2006. Claims 6, 7, and 9-11 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-5 and 8 have been canceled.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 6, 7, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shawler (US 4,899,888; provided by applicant) in view of Geary et al (US 6,899,946; hereinafter Geary) and Aileo (US 3,943,572).

Claims 6, 8, and 9: Shawler discloses a carrying case **10** with adaptable internal dimensions comprising a plurality of internal sidewalls **14, 16, 18 & 20**, and a plurality of stackable, shock-absorbent spacers **101-106** removably coupled to one another (Col. 4, lines 38-44).

Shawler discloses the claimed invention except for the express disclose of the adhesive on both sides of each spacer and the box wall, in addition to the adhesive being hook-and-loop fasteners.

Shawler uses adhesive instead of hook-and-loop fasteners. Geary shows that hook-and-loop fasteners were an equivalent structure known in the art (see Col. 4, lines 54-59). Therefore, because these two connecting means were art-recognized

Art Unit: 3728

equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute hook-and-loop fasteners for the adhesive of Shawler. An express suggestion to substitute one equivalent component or process for another is not necessary to render such substitution obvious. *In re Fout*, 675 F.2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982).

As to the adhesive on both sides and the box wall, Aileo teaches the provision of the hook-and-loop fasteners 86/88 on both sides of the foam spacers 82/84 and the mounting location 74 in order to connect two or more shock absorbing pads (see for example Col. 4, lines 24-26 and Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hook-and-loop fasteners of Shawler-Geary on both sides of the spacers and the box sidewalls (i.e. the mounting location) as taught by Aileo in order to connect two or more spacers.

Claim 7: Shawler discloses impact-absorbing bodies 101-106.

Claim 10: Shawler discloses lightweight foam (Col. 3, lines 57-61).

Claim 11: Shawler discloses the parallelepiped shape (see Col. 4, lines 33-35 and Figure 2B).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12 December 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,329

Art Unit: 3728

5. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Page 4

- 6. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., multi-use container) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- As to the adhesive vs. hook-and-loop fastening, in order to rely on equivalence as a rationale supporting an obviousness-type rejection, the equivalency must be recognized in the prior art. *In re Ruff*, 256 F.2d 590, 118 USPQ 340 (CCPA 1958). Geary represents evidence that adhesive and hook-and-loop fasteners were art-recognized equivalent structures for connecting layers of foam spacer material. An express suggestion to substitute one equivalent component or process for another is not necessary to render such substitution obvious. *In re Fout*, 675 F.2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982). Aileo teaches the hook-and-loop fastening upon the mounting surface.

Art Unit: 3728

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,329

Art Unit: 3728

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Greg Pickett Examiner

19 March 2007

Mickey Yu

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 37:00